Official Transcript of Proceedings

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title: D.C. Cook Application Renewal

Public Meeting - Afternoon Session

Docket Numbers: 50-315 and 50-316

Location: Bridgman, Michigan

Date: Monday, March 8, 2004

Work Order No.: NRC-1355 Pages 1-44

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

	1
1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
3	+ + + +
4	IN RE: THE APPLICATION FOR RENEWAL
5	OF THE LICENSES OF INDIANA MICHIGAN
6	POWER COMPANY, A WHOLLY-OWNED
7	SUBSIDIARY OF AMERICAN ELECTRIC
8	POWER, TO OPERATE D.C. COOK NUCLEAR
9	PLANT UNITS 1 AND 2, at BRIDGMAN,
10	BERRIEN COUNTY, MICHIGAN
11	+ + + +
12	commencing at 1:30 p.m. on Monday, March 8, 2004,
13	at the Lake Township Hall building, 3220 Shawnee
14	Street, Bridgman, Michigan.
15	APPEARANCES:
16	CHIP CAMERON, Meeting Facilitator
17	ROBERT SCHAAF
18	JOHN TAPPERT
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Monday, March 8, 2004 --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(All parties present in meeting room at or about 1:30 p.m.)

MR. CAMERON: Good afternoon, everyone.

My name is Chip Cameron, and I'm special counsel for public liaison at the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission. And I want to welcome you to the public meeting today. And we'll try not to use a lot of acronyms today, but if we do, we'll at least try to explain them. But one that you will hear is "NRC", for Nuclear Regulatory Commission. And the subject of our meeting today is the NRC's environmental review of an application that we received from American Electric Power to renew the operating licenses for the Donald C. Cook Nuclear Power Station, Units One and Two. And as you will be hearing more from the NRC staff today, the environmental review that we do is one part of our evaluation in deciding whether to renew those operating licenses for the Donald C. Cook plant, and it's my pleasure to serve as your facilitator this afternoon. And in that role, I'm going to try to help all of you to have a productive meeting.

Before we get into the substance of the meeting today, I just wanted to say a few words about the meeting process. And the format that we're going to

use is really a two-part format for the meeting, and the format matches the objective that we have for the First part of the meeting is to clearly explain to all of you what the NRC's license renewal process is; what types of information do we look at, how can the public participate in that process. And we have two brief NRC presentations for you to explain that, and then we'll go out to you to see if there are any questions about that. The second part of the meeting is to listen to any comments or advice, recommendations that you might have today, and we're going to give you a chance to make a formal comment to us. And the focus of the meeting today is on environmental impacts and what types of information should the NRC look at in developing its environmental impact statement. So we'll be looking forward to comments on that, or other concerns or interests that you might have with the license renewal.

The staff is going to tell you that we're accepting written comments on these issues, but I wanted to assure you that anything that you say at today's meeting will carry the same weight as a written comment that we receive. And we have, Marilyn is our stenographer today; we are taking a transcript of the meeting and that will be our formal record of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

meeting, and it will be available to anybody who wants to take a look at what happened here this afternoon.

In terms of ground rules, they're very simple. After we get done with the NRC staff presentations, then we go for questions. If you have a question, just signal me and I'll bring you this cordless microphone. Give us your name and affiliation, if appropriate, and we'll go from there. I would ask that only one person speak at a time, most importantly so that we can give our full attention to whomever has the floor at the moment, but also so that we can get a clean transcript so that Marilyn knows who is speaking at any particular time. I always ask people to try to exercise some brevity in their questions and comments so that we can make sure that we hear from everyone who wants to speak today. I don't think we're going to have a time problem, but I would just add that as a request.

When we get to the formal comment part of the meeting, I would ask you to follow a guideline of five to seven minutes in your comments, and it is a guideline. If you go over a little bit, that's going to be -- that's going to be fine, but try to keep it to five to seven minutes.

Let me introduce the NRC speakers who are going to be talking today, and I would mention that we

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

do have a number of NRC staff and expert consultants who are assisting us in preparing the environmental impact statement. They're here today to listen to what you have to say, to answer any questions that you might have. And after the meeting they will be here so there's an opportunity to talk to them informally.

Our prepared presentations, we're first going to go to Mr. John Tappert, who is right here. John is the -- is the chief of the environmental impact section within the License Renewal and Environmental Impact Program at the NRC, and that's located in our office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. John and his staff are responsible for overseeing the preparation of the environmental reviews on any action that has to do with reactors. In this case, it's a license renewal application, but they do it all and they have a lot of expertise in that area. John has been with the NRC for about 13 years. He has also served as a resident inspector. They are the NRC's eyes and ears, so to speak, at nuclear power plants to make sure that the NRC regulations are followed. Before that, he was with the Naval Nuclear Program. He has a Bachelor's in Aeronautic and Ocean Engineering from Virginia Tech and a Master's in Environmental Engineering from Johns Hopkins University. John will give you a formal welcome

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and give you some overview information on license renewal.

And then we're going to go to Mr. Bob Schaaf, who is right here. Bob is the Environmental Project Manager on the D.C. Cook license renewal application. And he is specifically responsible for overseeing the environmental review. He is going to give you the overview of the entire license renewal process and specifically the environmental review part of that process. Bob has also been with the agency for about 13 years and, before that, he was at the Charleston, South Carolina, Naval Shipyard. He has a Bachelor's in Mechanical Engineering from Georgia Tech. And I would thank all of you for being with us today, and this is one public meeting. Bob will give you his contact information. So, if you have questions or concerns, please contact Bob, and we can maintain some continuity with all of you after this meeting.

And with that, John, do you want to give us a welcome?

MR. TAPPERT: Yes. Thank you, Chip.

And good afternoon and welcome. As Chip said, my

name is John Tappert and, on behalf of the Nuclear

Regulatory Commission, I would like to thank you for

coming out here today and participating in this process.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I hope that you find the information that we will share with you today will be helpful, and we look forward to receiving your comments, both today and in the future.

We'd like to start off by briefly going over the purposes of today's meetings and the agenda. We're going to start by getting a brief overview of the entire license renewal program. This includes both a safety review, as well as environmental review, which will be the principal focus of today's meeting. we'll give you some more details about how we would do that environmental review, which will assess the impacts associated with extending the operating licenses of the D.C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant for an additional 20 years. And then we'll give you some information about the balance of our review schedule and how you can submit comments in the future. And then we get to the real heart of today's meeting, which is to receive any comments that you may have today.

First, some context for the license renewal process itself. The Atomic Energy Act gives the NRC the authority to issue operating licenses to commercial nuclear power plants for a period of 40 years For D.C. Cook, Units 1 and 2, those operating licenses will expire in 2014 and 2017, respectively. Our regulations also make provisions for extending those

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 operating licenses as part of a license renewal program, 2 and AEP has requested license renewal for both units. 3 As part of the NRC's review of those 4 applications, we will develop an environmental impact 5 statement. Right now we're early in that process in what we call "scoping," where we seek to identify those 6 7 issues which will require the greatest focus during our review. 8 This public meeting here today is part of 9 that scoping process, and your comments today will help 10 11 shape our review. After we make our preliminary 12 assessments, we will publish a draft environmental 13

impact statement and return here to present our findings in a public meeting. And with that as a brief introduction, I'd like to ask Bob to give you some more details on our review. Bob.

MR. SCHAAF: Thank you, John. As John said, my name is Bob Schaaf. I'm the Environmental Project Manager for the environmental review of the D.C. Cook license renewal application. I'll briefly describe the entire renewal application review process and then discuss the details of our environmental review.

Our renewal application review runs, essentially, in two parallel paths; a safety review and

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

an environmental review. The safety review is supported by on-site inspections and audits and an independent review by the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards.

The safety review is focused on review of the applicant's programs for identifying and managing the aging of what we call "passive" systems, structures, and components. These programs are the focus of the renewal review because our existing regulatory processes for operating nuclear plants ensure, on an ongoing basis, that "active" systems, structures, and components are inspected, maintained, and replaced as needed.

The second review path involves the environmental review, which I'll discuss in detail shortly. The review process also includes on-site inspections and audits by staff from the regional office in Chicago and our headquarters staff outside

Washington. And finally, again, the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, or ACRS, conducts an independent review of the applicant's license renewal application and of the staff's review and provides recommendations directly to the Commission. The ACRS is an independent advisory board set up by Congress to review the work of the NRC staff.

This figure illustrates the entire renewal review process, highlighting opportunities for public

involvement. The upper path shows the safety review, and lower path shows the environmental review. As noted previously, the safety review includes technical evaluation of the license renewal application by NRC staff, on-site inspections and audits, and independent review by ACRS. In parallel with the safety review, the NRC staff performs its review of the environmental impact of continued operation. Again, I will discuss this shortly.

At the end of the process, the final safety evaluation report, the final environmental impact statement, the results of the NRC staff's inspections, and the ACRS recommendations will be used by the agency in making a final determination on whether to renew the licenses.

Highlighting the opportunities for public involvement, they're indicated by the splash marks. The first opportunity was the opportunity to file a petition to request a hearing on the renewal application. That opportunity began in December and closed in early January. That process requires that a petition be submitted to hold hearings on issues that will be litigated by a panel of judges. There were no petitions requesting a hearing for the Cook license renewal review.

The next opportunity for public involvement is today's meeting, which is part of the environmental scoping process. In scoping, we determine the issues that need to be addressed in our environmental review.

The next opportunity for public involvement would be when we request comments on our draft environmental impact statement. Finally, oral and written statements can be provided during the ACRS meetings reviewing the staff's review.

In addition to these opportunities, throughout this process, members of the public who have nuclear safety concerns can raise those issues during additional public meetings that the NRC will hold to discuss our review of the Cook application. Meetings on particular technical issues are usually held at our headquarters office in Rockville. However, some technical meetings, or meetings to summarize inspection results, are typically held near the plant site. That's a brief review of the license renewal process. I can take questions regarding that process at this time.

MR. CAMERON: Any questions for Bob or John about any aspect of this? Okay. Great. Thank you, Bob. And staff is available after the meeting if there are any questions, as well.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SCHAAF: I'll now proceed to the heart of the presentation, which is discussing the environmental review process.

The National Environmental Policy Act, or NEPA, is a Congressional mandate enacted in 1969, which requires all federal agencies to use a systematic approach to consider environmental impacts during certain decision-making proceedings. It's a disclosure tool, which involves the public. And it involves the process in which information is gathered to enable federal agencies to make informed decisions. And then as part of that process, we document that information and invite public participation to evaluate it. NEPA process for license renewal results in an environmental impact statement, also called EIS, which describes the results of the detailed review that we do to evaluate the environmental impacts of a proposed action that may significantly affect the quality of the human environment. In this case, we are preparing a supplement to the generic EIS for license renewal. generic EIS addressed a number of issues common to all nuclear plants and identified additional issues that need to be reviewed for each nuclear plant applying for license renewal.

Our review considers environmental impacts

of alternatives to the proposed action, including a no-action alternative, which would be simply not approving a request, and the impacts of constructing and operating alternative power-generating facilities.

At this point, we're in the process of gathering information we need to prepare that supplemental impact statement, and, in particular, at this stage, we are performing what we call scoping. The NRC is having this meeting as part of our scoping process for the purpose of providing you and other government agencies with an opportunity to provide us with any information that they believe may have some bearing on our environmental evaluation.

In particular, we are looking for information that may not be readily available or concerns that people might have that may not have been addressed by AEP in their application.

environmental review as stated in our regulations. To paraphrase, we are trying to determine whether or not renewing the Cook license for an additional 20 years is acceptable from an environmental standpoint. I should emphasize that if we conclude that license renewal is acceptable from an environmental perspective, all that means is that it will be environmentally acceptable for

AEP to operate Cook for an additional 20 years. The NRC doesn't determine whether they actually operate for those additional 20 years. That decision is made by AEP and state regulators. It is possible that a utility could determine that it's not economically feasible to continue operating a plant, even though it is environmentally acceptable.

This slide gives a little more detail on the environmental portion of our license renewal review. AEP's application was received on November 3rd of last year. On February 6th of this year, we issued a notice of our intent to perform scoping, which we are doing now, and our intent to develop an environmental impact statement. We're currently in the data-gathering phase to determine the environmental impacts of renewing the After that, we will develop a draft environmental impact statement, which we expect to issue for public comment in September of this year. also come back here in early November for another public meeting to talk about the results of our review and to provide an opportunity for public -- for the public to provide any comments they may have on our draft impact statement.

After receiving and evaluating any comments, we will then develop the final environmental

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

impact statement, which we expect to issue in May of next year.

We are gathering information for our evaluation from a number of different sources, as shown here. This week we'll go to the site to review AEP's procedures for managing environmental impacts, and to observe firsthand how the plant interacts with the environment. We are also meeting with federal, state, and local government officials, and we will consider all comments received from the public during the comment period.

This slide shows the range of issues, range of environmental topics our team is reviewing.

Impacts considered include such things as air quality, water quality, and effects on plants and wildlife. We also look at what we call "socioeconomics" -- how does the plant affect people's lives economically?

We have assembled a team of NRC staff and experts from the national labs with backgrounds in specific technical and scientific disciplines necessary to complete our review.

To summarize a few key dates from an earlier slide, our schedule is to complete the scoping process by April 6th, when the public comment period ends. After that, as indicated, we plan to issue our

draft environmental impact statement in September this year, and the final impact statement in May of next year. If you would like a copy of those reports sent directly to you, please be sure to fill out a registration card at the desk.

This slide provides you with my phone number in case you have additional questions after you leave today. I'm the designated point-of-contact for the environmental review of the license renewal application.

Although you're welcome to contact me with any questions, if you wish to have comments addressed in our review, it must be provided either in writing, which we will provide you the addresses shortly, or as Chip indicated, through oral comments given at this meeting, which is being transcribed.

Arrangements have been made for the documents associated with the environmental review to be available locally. The Bridgman Public Library and the Maud Preston Palenske Memorial Library in St. Joseph have been kind enough to make shelf space available for documents related to our review. Also, documents are available through our documents management system, which can be accessed on our Internet Web page.

After this meeting, comments can be

1 submitted by mail, in person, or by e-mail. You can 2 send specific written comments to us at the address 3 If you happen to be in the Rockville area, you 4 can deliver them in person, or you can also submit 5 comments by e-mail to the e-mail address indicated on the slide. 6 7 And this concludes our formal presentation on the environmental review. And in closing, I'd like to 8 9 thank everyone for their attention and for your attendance at today's meeting. And I can take any 10 11 questions that you might have now. 12 MR. CAMERON: Any questions? Okay. Before we go to public comment, just let me introduce 13 14 one person. Ivy? Ivy Netzel is back here, and she's 15 one of the resident inspectors, NRC resident inspectors at D.C. Cook. 16 17 And thank you, Bob. Okay. I was going to ask local governmental elected officials to start us 18 19 with those people, and then go to the company to get their vision on license renewal. 20 And, first of all, I wanted to ask 21 22 Mr. John Gast to come up, who is the Lake Township's 23 supervisor, and thank you also for the use of this 24 facility, Mr. Gast.

MR. GAST: You're welcome.

25

Good

I'm here today in support of the relicensing afternoon. of the Cook nuclear facility. I'm a lifelong resident of the community of Lake Township and currently hold the position of Township supervisor. I retired from a law enforcement career of 26 years, which my work was mainly in Lake Township. I worked closely with Cook plant personnel over the years. The plant and its employees and management have been great community partners and supported many of the non-profit organizations in our The economic impact of the Cook facility and -afforded the citizens of this community a stable economic background and growth, as well as the Township's single largest employer. The Township enjoys municipal water, sanitary, water utilities throughout the Township, and one of the lowest millages in the Township residents also enjoy a wide range of services provided at no additional cost.

Before 9/11 events, Cook Nuclear Visitor's Center for years was one of the Township's destination spots for visitors in educational opportunities. The facility was a showcase for our community. The power plant owners have always promoted safety, and AEP is no exception, operating with safety of the public as a top priority and being stewards of our local environment. I personally had no communications concerning the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 relicensing of this facility well into the years of 2 2034 and 2037. Thank you. 3 MR. CAMERON: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Gast. 4 I believe we have a representative from State Senator Jelinek's office here, Chris Siebenmark. 5 MR. SIEBENMARK: Good afternoon. A letter 6 7 of support on Senator Jelinek's behalf, and I will continue from here: 8 "Statement of Support: American Electric 9 Power/Cook Nuclear Plant, Bridgman, Michigan. 10 11 I would like to thank American Electric Power for its commitment to the economic and social 12 welfare of Senate District 21 of the State of 13 14 Michigan. I applaud its decision to pursue 15 extension of the operating licenses for Cook Nuclear Plant, Bridgman, Michigan, for 20 16 17 additional years beyond their expiration dates, (Unit 1: 2014 and Unit 2: 18 2017). 19 "Since Cook Plant began commercial operations in 1975, it has proven itself to be 2.0 21 an excellent corporate citizen. Cook Plant 22 contributes to the nearly 20 percent of 23 electricity generated by commercial nuclear 24 plants in the United States. By not burning

fossil fuels, it helps our nation conserve

valuable resources and balance our energy mix. Such conservation is imperative, as the U.S. Department of Energy projects our country will need 50 percent more electricity by the year 2020. Cook Nuclear Plant, with one of the nation's largest generating capacities, could play a vital role in meeting the energy needs of our country and district in the future.

"Today the Cook Nuclear Plant generates
2.1 million kilowatts of electricity for
residences and businesses. It is the third
largest employer in Berrien County, providing
almost 1,400 AEP and contract jobs, supporting
our local, state and national economies with
\$90 million in total wages. Cook is a major
contributor to our tax base to the tune of
almost \$14 million in 2001.

"Cook Nuclear operates with high safety and security standards to protect its employees, property, the environment, and the citizens of our state, emitting no greenhouse gasses, minimizing air pollution, and helping our region achieve its air quality goals with the EPA and Michigan Department of Environmental Quality.

2.0

25

"Cook Nuclear Plant has minimized the need to build additional fossil fuel power facilities on our district's valuable green space to meet our citizen's growing electric power needs; occupies only 20 percent of AEP's 650 acres of property and uses the rest of the land as sanctuary for hundreds of birds, plants and wildlife, including threatened species; continuously samples the air, soil, foliage, surface and groundwater at over 20 different monitoring stations to ensure Cook Plant meets or exceeds environmental standards; safely stores its used fuels in a highly secure location on Cook Plant property; contributes approximately \$200,000 annually to United Way, with 50 percent matching donations from AEP.

"The AEP Cook Nuclear Plant is a valued and desired corporation in our district. I ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission give every consideration to the 20-year license extensions of AEP Cook Nuclear Plant -- if AEP Cook Nuclear Plant meets or exceeds the NRC's safety, technical and environmental criteria pursuant to continued

operation. Sincerely, Ron Jelinek, State Senator."

If I may add, this morning I was at a meeting with State Representatives Charlie LaSata and Neal Nitz, and they also concur in full with Ron's wishes on this endorsement. Thank you.

MR. CAMERON: Thank you very much, Chris.

And please thank Senator Jelinek for us, too. We're
going to go to some representatives of American Electric

Power to explain their vision and rationale behind the
license renewal application, and then come back to local
officials and others. And I'd like to introduce Mano

Nazar, who is a Senior Vice President and the Chief

Nuclear Officer for American Electric Power.

MR. NAZAR: Thank you. I'm Mano Nazar,
Senior Vice President and Chief Nuclear Officer for AEP.
As you know, AEP is one of the largest utilities in the
United States that generates more than 40,000 megawatts
nationally. And Cook is a very important source of
energy, major resource of energy for AEP. AEP has
committed more than \$20 million to start this process
and apply for license renewal, and there are going to be
millions more in looking forward to continue getting
ready for the license renewal.

License renewal, obviously, is a major

milestone for AEP, Cook, and the community, as you've heard some of the local officials mention.

What does 20 years of additional operation mean? It means diverse energy supply; it means environmental balance; it means source a of jobs and tax revenue for the community, as you heard from some of our colleagues. License renewal is all about prevention and detection. And it's not any different than the original license process that was granted for -- operate the facility for 40 years. This process includes the careful examination and analysis of the programs to monitor the structures and equipment, to ensure that we prevent failures and take the appropriate action as far as to the failures. That's what the prevention is all about.

I have to mention that I came from Duke Power, Duke Energy, and I was the Plant Manager for the Oconee nuclear site. That is a three-unit power plant, about 3,000 megawatts output, and Oconee was the second power plant that applied for the license renewal, and the 20 additional years was granted by NRC. So, I have been through this process, and as one of the initial license renewal applications that was applied for this nation, went through a good learning process that we are applying to Cook. And that's going to be, obviously, an

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

asset with moving forward.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We have commitment, as AEP and my personal commitment, to the safe operation and support of the community. Our mission is to operate the facility the safest possible while we are caring for employees, our employees, community, and environment. Thank you very much.

MR. CAMERON: Thank you very much, Mano.

And next we're going to hear from Michael Finissi.

Thank you. Good afternoon, MR. FINISSI: everyone. My name is Mike Finissi. I'm the Plant Manager of the Cook Nuclear Power Plant. I'm a 19-year AEP employee, most of which has been spent on the nuclear side supporting the nuclear power plant. A little bit about my background; I have an engineering degree; a business degree; I'm a Professional Engineer; and I'm also -- I was also a licensed Senior Reactor Operator on this plant, which makes me uniquely qualified to perform my duty as Plant Manager. My number one duty is safety. Safety to protect our children, our community, our employees. An example, last year, we had an inconsequential steam leak on the plant. We could have possibly fixed the steam leak online in one of our components; we elected to go ahead and shut the plant down because that's the safest thing

for our employees.

As far as our environmental stewardship, the plant was built, what I call, to blend into the surrounding environment. We do not have cooling towers, and we do not have containment domes which stick up above the sand dunes. Our staff of 20 environmental employees make sure that we meet our state and federal requirements. Also, we have a staff of folks back in Columbus, Ohio, which is our service corporation to support us, as well. As mentioned earlier, we built a nature trail which is tied to our Visitor's Center, which allows the community to go and be one with nature. It's actually a unique experience.

Our recycling program, we work with the local Gateway Group, which is a benefit for us in that we recycle paper, and also we benefit the community, as well.

In conclusion, I believe our submittal was thorough and is accurate. Also, I believe that license renewal is good for the community. It will enable the Cook Nuclear Power Plant to provide low-cost, clean energy for many years to come. Thank you.

MR. CAMERON: Thank you very much. We're next going to hear from Rich Grumbier, also from the company, and he is the License Renewal Project Manager

for AEP.

MR. GRUMBIER: Good afternoon, everyone.

As Chip mentioned, I'm the License Renewal Project

Manager. My name is Richard Grumbier. I've been with

Cook about five years, and I just want to share just

some of the rigor that we put into the application, as

well as some of the company's -- AEP, as a corporate

company, their environmental stewardship.

As Mike indicated, the application is very thorough. We solicited some input from our environmental experts working at the station, as well as hired an outside firm that is experienced with a lot of applications throughout the industry. We spent a lot of time looking at our existing environmental compliance, Department of Environmental Quality for Michigan, the Department of Natural Resources, U.S. Fish and Wildlife, and the report was put together and is supporting the application for continuing operation.

Not only are we -- I also want to share that not only are we committed to local environmental, such as the Visitor's Center, supporting the Chikaming Park Township, where we assisted in purchasing some land, but we also do environmental or experimental work with wind generators. And we're also involved in the Bolivia and Belize forest preservation projects.

1	To date with the application, we've had
2	three on-site audits from the NRC, as they're doing the
3	environmental I'm sorry, not the environmental, but
4	the safety review. And we As Bob indicated, we are
5	looking forward to tomorrow where we are starting the
6	environmental site visit. We're prepared to support the
7	NRC in the review, provide whatever information is
8	necessary to assist them in that review, respond to any
9	questions, and we are looking forward to going through
10	this review process and being here for another 20 years.
11	Thank you.
12	MR. CAMERON: Okay. Thank you very much,
13	Rich. And thank you, Mano, for having your team make
14	this presentation these presentations to us. We've
15	been joined by Sheriff Paul Bailey, of the Berrien
16	County Sheriff's Department. Sheriff Bailey. And then
17	we'll next go to Lieutenant Mays, Michigan State Police.
18	MR. BAILEY: Sorry, Willie.
19	(Laughter.)
20	MR. BAILEY: I'm Sheriff Paul Bailey and
21	it's great to be here to see all the NRC people and Cook
22	plant personnel. We work very closely with them during
23	the years, since 1975. Since the first reactor was in
24	operation at the Cook Plant, the Sheriff's Department

has been there to assist to make sure that all safety

issues are taken care of properly, and we have done that through the Sheriff's Department and the Michigan State Police and all the local departments in the county. have a good working relationship. There's 23 police departments in Berrien County, so I feel very confident as sheriff, if something happened, that we could provide protection for our citizens. And we train very well with the personnel at the Cook plant, be it their supervisor of security; and their security officers are a true team that does regular training down there yearly with the plant security, and we have a good working relationship. And as sheriff, I recommend that you approve their licenses so that they continue business here in Berrien County. And thank you. MR. CAMERON: Okay. Thank you, Sheriff Now we have Lieutenant Mays. I'm sorry if I violated protocol there. (Laughter.) LIEUTENANT MAYS: No, we just have a long history together. Thank you for allowing me the chance to speak on behalf of the Cook Nuclear Power Plant. Just to build off what the Sheriff has said, our relationship has grown so strong over the I've watched the security at D.C. Cook go from

an intrusion team to a containment team, now to a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

protection team. Our law enforcement agencies all over the county get along very well with the Cook security group. And it's really -- unusually, I've worked at several parts of the State where I've had the opportunity to train at most -- at several nuclear plants, but I found with Cook, they're open for suggestions all the time. And if you mention that suggestion to them, when you come back to another meeting, you can see that it's already been implemented, and whatever program you say that you can see that they could better their security, is already a done deal.

And we can appreciate that in law enforcement and the thing is, now things are being coordinated. When somebody mentioned earlier about having to shut down one of the plants, one of the reactors, I got a call at home to be advised of that, so the communication system -- and since 9/11, we have grown so much stronger since then. As law enforcement, you can really appreciate that. We do all our training there; they're very open and, also, the thing is, that information that they gave us and put out, sometimes the information they receive before we do, and it's kind of unusual, but when they do, they share that information. So, I'm in support of D.C. Cook to be relicensed for the following years to come. And if there is any questions

after we're done, I'd be more than happy to answer. But I really appreciate the time that you've given me, allowed me for this space. Thank you.

MR. CAMERON: Okay. Thank you, Lieutenant Mays. We're going to go to three local officials now. First of all, Aaron Anthony, who is the City Manager of Bridgman, and then we'll go to Craig Massey from the Berrien County Health Department, and then Kevin Ivers of Bridgman Public Schools; then we'll go to Jeff Knolls, Chamber of Commerce.

MR. ANTHONY: Thank you for the opportunity to represent the city at this hearing. We found -- Let me back up just a little bit, because I had a little bit of experience working with both Cook and -- it wasn't AEP then, but we'll say AEP, when I first came to this county in '77. I was the county planning/public works director, which meant that we also dealt with economic development and a number of those issues, so we had a chance to work with the staff that did the economic development at that particular time. Since then, we've got a lot more firsthand -- Although Cook is not directly located within the jurisdiction of the city of Bridgman, its effects are felt in several ways, particularly there through jobs, job development, support for the service industry with restaurants and

service stations and all of that. In addition to those
particular items, we were lucky enough to have, after
about a 150 loss, when a company moved out of town, to
have AEP come in and put their material center within
the City of Bridgman. So, in almost every facet of the
economic development side of the City of Bridgman, the
effect of Cook and AEP can be felt. You've already
heard that they're a major employer in the county.
Well, if you look at their employment figures, and then
you look at our town of 2,400, 2,500 folks, not all of
them work there, and I wouldn't mind, by the way, if you
had those kind of jobs open, but several of them do, and
so we get the support, as well, for the citizens here in
the city. The city, obviously, on those bases, would
endorse and encourage the license renewal. That's all I
have. Thank you.
MR. CAMERON: Thanks a lot, Mr. Anthony.
And we're going to go to Mr. Massey from Berrien County
Health Department.
MR. MASSEY: Good afternoon. I'm the
Berrien County Public Health Emergency Services
Coordinator, and I work very closely on a day-to-day
basis with the Sheriff's Emergency Management Office.
My previous career as an Army field artillery officer

allowed me the opportunity for quite a few years to be

responsible for my unit's nuclear weapons, operations
and training, and supporting NATO's only multinational
corps in northern Europe. At that time, I organized and
controlled nuclear weapons accident and incident
exercises involving five nations, military and civilian
players. Since my arrival here at this position in
December of '02, I've worked regularly on a monthly
basis or better, in fact, more recently it's been almost
on a daily basis, with AEP's local emergency management
group. And I can safely say without any qualification
that their positive attitude and technical proficiency
has favorably impressed me. And they also lend credence
to AEP's overall emergency management program. So, in
view of again, from my limited opinion, of AEP's very
professional emergency management program personnel,
they are at least one of the many reasons why this
application should be favorably considered.
MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Mr. Massey.
MR. MASSEY: You're welcome.
MR. CAMERON: And we're going to go to
Mr. Ivers from Bridgman, City of Bridgman Public
Schools.
MR. IVERS: Thank you for having me here.
Good afternoon. As the superintendent of Bridgman Public
Schools, I would like to recommend that the application

for the renewal for the D.C. Cook Power Plant be
approved. I represent 1,007 students and 145 employees,
and, outside of the D.C. Cook Plant, we are probably the
largest employer in the City of Bridgman and Lake
Township. AEP and D.C. Cook have been partners with the
schools for over 30 years. Prior to the 9/11 incident,
our students regularly visited the plant, they learned
about nuclear power, and they walked the nature trails.
We used the Visitor's Center and conference rooms to
hold Board of Education retreats and many student
recognition events. Last March we asked our community
taxpayers to help support a recreational millage to help
fund our community pool. When we first had that idea,
we met with representatives from the Cook plant, and
they were in support of this millage, and we were very
fortunate that it passed. Without their financial
support, we would not be able to provide the level of
education that we currently offer to our students. We
view the D.C. Cook Plant and AEP as good neighbors, and
we support their application for license renewal. Thank
you.
MR. CAMERON: Thanks a lot, Mr. Ivers.
We're going to go to Jeff Knowles, Cornerstone Chamber
of Commerce, at this point.
MR. KNOWLES: Thank you very much. It's a

privilege to be here today. I'm with the Chamber of
Commerce. We happen to have 722 members. We promote
the brand, "Michigan's Great Southwest," because we
really do believe everything in Berrien County, the
163,000 people, are definitely enriched by the Cook
Nuclear Plant. So, we are, obviously, in favor of the
relicensing for all of those well-known economic and tax
base and job reasons. But let me share with you some of
the reasons why we believe this facility is so critical
to the quality of life in this area. Maybe some things
that you had not thought about. With over 1,400
employees, those individuals have partners and spouses,
who bring such great skill sets to this area. There are
teachers, there are business managers, they work in our
hospitals. So, Cook Nuclear supports more than just the
direct job base that exists right in this area. The
influx of the skills that you have are oftentimes needed
and too often overlooked. About a year ago we had a
windstorm and lots of trees were blown over, and some of
the horticultural engineering staff here at Cook Nuclear
gave advice to residents and neighbors about how to wrap
the seedlings so you didn't have to cut them up, you
could replant them. And today I think we have more
mature trees in the area as a result of one tiny skill
set that this facility brings to our area.

I'd also like to say they're a cultural leader. Look at Mano. What we find is that of 1,400 employees, you have representatives that come from all across the globe and different parts and different regions of our country. And that cultural impact that you have in our community is critical. Because this is a community that is embracing diverse inclusion, and Cook Nuclear is definitely a leader in that area.

We also would like to say that you're a It's not enough to give money, to give social leader. to charitable organizations, but it's employees who lead those organizations who really do truly enhance our They're the coaches who made a quality of life. difference on my son; they are the leaders of churches who bring their accounting skills and their engineering skills to do the right kind of planning. So it's not just the money, but it's the real influx of your talent and your people that make such a world of difference in And from the Chamber of Commerce standpoint, this area. I would close by offering the following thought: Everyone in "Michigan's Great Southwest" embraces this facility, because if you look at development that has occurred since you opened, the quality of development is gravitating towards the Cook Nuclear and not away from And so, as a result, people have spoken with their

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

pocketbooks by saying the new golf courses, the new residential areas, the new shopping locations are all there and all invested because they embrace and support and are looking forward to the licensing renewal for the Cook Nuclear facility. Thank you.

MR. CAMERON: Thank you very much. We're going to go to Bill Downey and then Marty Golob and Larry Wozniak. Bill.

MR. DOWNEY: I didn't have anything prepared today. I wasn't on the speaker's list, but -
MR. CAMERON: Why don't I capture you on here.

Unofficially, speaking MR. DOWNEY: Sure. as more of public citizen, I'm here to support the Cook nuclear facility. I know that my family and a lot of families and friends that I have in the community have been here all of my life, have enjoyed the Cook nuclear facility and particularly the Visitor's Center. I think a lot of the success of -- and the support of Cook is due to the Visitor's Center and what it has brought to the area and a lot of the outreach in the community. Much has been said by the other speakers here. speaking very personally as a family guy, knowing that we have such a facility here, and people who are willing to go out and educate our children about power and about

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

engineering and about all of those things that are available out in the world today, I'm very proud to be a supporter of the Cook Center.

On a professional note, I've also been involved with a number of the people at Cook for the past six years, working for Perry Ballet (phonetic), Incorporated; and I know that the people there are extraordinary people, and I would heartily support them for license renewal in that regard, as well. So, unofficial comments. Thank you.

MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Bill. Marty, Marty Golob.

MR. GOLOB: Good afternoon. Thank you for the opportunity to present this morning. I am Marty I've been with the United Way of Southwest Golob. Michigan for six years, so I'm transplanted to this community, like many of you. I have 15 years experience working with United Way in four different regions of the Have a great deal of experience working with utilities at that point in time and the participation in the community. I can say unequivocally I've never seen a corporate citizen of the caliber of AEP and the D.C. Cook Plant and the participation in the community. think it's core that not only do they involve themselves as a corporation philanthropically in many aspects of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

our community, but they support their employees'
involvement, as well, on boards, and as we've heard
spoken of earlier today, in the churches, in the teams,
in the events in the community. So we see their
employees encouraged to participate in the community.
We've seen AEP get involved regionally on many levels,
most recently with Benton Harbor area schools and
rebirth and regrowth program for that community in their
education base. As a major employer, yes, they do
contribute over \$200,000 to the United Way of Southwest
Michigan annually, and that is just a portion of the
economic impact that they have on the health and human
services in our community.
So, I would, again, heartily encourage the
20-year extension being proposed for today. Thank you.
MR. CAMERON: All right. Thank you,
Mr. Golob. Mr. Wozniak.
MR. WOZNIAK: Thank you. After all of the
suits and ties, I come here in my blue jeans. It's my
day off today. I just want to talk about the economic
development part of the Cook plant and nuclear plant
here. For the last 10 years that our hotel alone, which
is the Park Inn in Stevensville, we have taken in income
over \$800,000 from nuclear plant employees. So, a lot
of people who live in town who are permanent regidents

here, but there's lots of transient business who comes in for the plant. Forty percent of that money is the contractors that come in. The Framatome, guys like that, come in and work from other companies who were hired here. So it's a lot of money spent at hotels, and I'm just one of currently 20 hotels in the area, and that's about 10 percent of our business over the last 10 years. So, it's definitely a good chunk of our business, and we appreciate that tremendously.

Also, the hotels that are here, they also have to do -- they're eating and they're buying their They don't eat a whole lot of time when they're gas. here working now, they don't do much tourism-type things, but they are spending money other places, too. So, the restaurants also benefit, as well as the gas stations, I know for sure, and the movie theater, maybe on their day off or something like that. And the other thing is, the Welcome Center, it's been talked about a We miss that Welcome Center. They had the trade shows, you know, the different shows every month or so. The vendors would come in from out of town and stay at the hotel. Sometimes out-of-town quests would be there just for the show itself. But it was also a fantastic tourist attraction. Sure, we joked about when the guests would go to the nuclear plant for the tour,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 they'd come back and be their own night-light in the 2 room because they'd glow for 24 hours, but, you know, 3 we'd just joke about that, and they'd laugh and go on, 4 and everybody had a great time at the plant and at the 5 So, those are definitely two aspects that help the hotels and the economy in our area. Thank you. 6 7 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Larry, for coming on your day off to talk with us. Did I miss anybody out 8 9 there? Is there anybody else who wants to speak at this 10 point? Okay. 11 Well, we do have NRC staff here, experts, 12 consultants, to talk after the meeting, and we'll be back at seven o'clock tonight to do another meeting. 13 14 And I would just ask, John, do you want to close it out 15 for us? Sure. Just want to thank 16 MR. TAPPERT: everyone for coming out, taking the time out of the day 17 to come to the meeting. We appreciate all your 18 19 I remind you that our scoping comment period comments. does extend to April 6th, so if you want to amend or 20 have any additional comments, please send them in. 21 22 we will be back this evening and the staff will be here 23 after the meeting if you have any personal questions. 24 But thanks again for coming, and that's it.

Okay.

MR. CAMERON:

	42
1	MR. GREEN: May I speak?
2	MR. CAMERON: And we have one more
3	speaker.
4	MR. GREEN: Okay. Good. Hi. Yes, sir.
5	MR. CAMERON: Why don't you relax and join
б	us up here. This is Mike Green from Habitat for
7	Humanity.
8	MR. GREEN: I'm sorry I'm late, folks.
9	MR. CAMERON: That's okay. You're just
10	right on time, and you can either use the podium or you
11	can use this, whichever you feel more comfortable with.
12	MR. GREEN: Good afternoon. My name is
13	Mike Green. I'm executive director of Harbor Habitat
14	for Humanity, and Harbor Habitat is a non-profit housing
15	organization that is in 92 countries all around the
16	world. And, in Benton Harbor in 1996, we started an
17	affiliate that has begun to create housing opportunities
18	for low-income people in the City of Benton Harbor. The
19	employees and the staff at the Cook plant have been very
20	instrumental in our success, and I just want to come and
21	say a few words in support of them.
22	We have been the benefactors of their good
23	will. Many of the employees from the Cook Plant have
24	come and helped us construct houses. In fact, on our
25	board of directors our current president is an employee

for AEP, and we just appreciate what they've done. In the beginning, 1996, provided some heat pumps for us for our families who needed housing. And then over the years, the plant and the employees have actually helped to construct houses for us, fully funding them.

In fact, our current office is located at 785 East Main Street in downtown Benton Harbor, and that structure was fully funded by AEP. And we appreciate the employees who came out and helped us build it because it created a presence for us in our community. We want to make a statement that we were going to be in town for the long haul, and credibility is really important in Benton Harbor when you create a non-profit organization. You have to do what you say you're going to do, and we basically needed to gain that credibility over time by constructing houses and being successful at that, and we have done that to date. We've built 22 houses. And I apologize I didn't get the numbers together, but each year AEP has supported us.

This year we -- they have offered to fully fund a house for us. Last year they were part of our corporate building, and [redacted] and her family are living in a house that was constructed by the employees of AEP. So I just want to say thank you to the employees. Appreciate the support; been a great

corporate partner for us.

And one of the things about Habitat for Humanity is, when a contribution is made, a financial contribution, it has a way of continuing forever. The family that receives a Habitat home must pay for that house. And so when the company makes a contribution to us, the family turns around and makes a mortgage payment to us. That mortgage payment is used to build another house. And then we build a house for another person and that person then begins to make payments back to us, and that continues to revolve and increase another opportunity and builds more houses. And so, every time an organization helps us, it just has a life of its own and just continues on and on.

So, I just wanted to come here in support of the employees and the people of AEP and the Cook plant. It's just been a marvelous partnership, and look forward to continuing that in years to come.

MR. CAMERON: Okay. Thank you. Okay.

And thank you once again for coming out this afternoon.

And we are adjourned.

(Meeting adjourned.)